

PEOPLE CENTRED: THE JOURNAL OF DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION GUIDELINES TO AUTHORS

Aims and Scope

People Centred: The Journal of Development Administration welcomes and aims to publish original articles and commentary on development administration, governance, and social development across Africa and the Global South. In particular, the journal offers a platform for scholarship from African researchers and practitioners working on development policy and practice, grounded in local contexts and offering decolonising perspectives on development epistemology.

The journal is intended for academics, practitioners, students, and policymakers interested in development administration across the African continent and beyond. It promotes African perspectives and thinking on governance, institutional development, and social change. It is a journal based in and committed to an African intellectual community, published through the Institute of Development Administration, Zimbabwe.

Open Access

People Centred: The Journal of Development Administration is a Diamond Open Access journal publishing under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>. There are no Author Publication Fees payable to submit or publish in this journal.

ScienceOpen, ORCID, and Submission Mechanics

People Centred: The Journal of Development Administration is hosted by ScienceOpen, ensuring global visibility for all published works. To maximise accessibility, contributors must have an ORCID (Open Research and Contributor ID) and be registered on ScienceOpen. Those without these can obtain them quickly.

ORCID Number

All authors must have an ORCID number, a common requirement for modern research visibility. ORCID provides a persistent digital identifier linking researchers to their work. Registration is simple at

<https://orcid.org/register>. Alternatively, Pluto Press offers a video guide at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rSc_vc-wQaU. It is easiest to obtain both an ORCID number and a ScienceOpen account before submission.

Submission Mechanics

If you already have an ORCID and a ScienceOpen account, skip to Submit a Manuscript. Otherwise:

1. On the People Centred ScienceOpen homepage X, hover over My ScienceOpen and select Register.
2. Follow the steps: Create an account, get an ORCID, link it, and verify your email.

Submit a Manuscript

3. Sign in at the People Centred ScienceOpen homepage X.
4. Click Submit a manuscript on the homepage.
5. Upload both Word and PDF versions of your work (Word for processing, PDF for preservation).
6. Provide the required details, including title, abstract (max 250 words in the submission system), keywords (up to 10), and author order.
7. Select the appropriate Article type and corresponding author email.
8. Categorize your work under Disciplines and Data availability.
9. Specify funder details if applicable.
10. Agree to author statements and submit.

Biographical Note (Upon Acceptance)

Authors must submit a short biography (125–150 words for research articles; 50–75 words for commentary) including name, institution, and areas of interest. Links to additional work are optional.

Following acceptance, peer review and revisions, final manuscripts proceed to copyediting and typesetting.

Manuscript Guidelines

All submissions should be written in English consistently. Authors are advised to edit their work prior to submission to make the review process a smooth exercise.

Authors should submit manuscripts in Word format, justified throughout. All text should be in Times New Roman font, minimum size 10, with no line spacing. Quotations exceeding 20 words should be double-indented consistently. Margins should be 1 inch (2.54 cm) on all sides. Page numbers should be placed in the centre of the footer.

At the time of submission, the work should not have been submitted for similar consideration elsewhere.

Each submission should contain five main elements: title, abstract, keywords, main essay, and references.

During submission, authors should also supply in the online form: the title of the submission, name, institutional affiliation, ORCID number, and active email address.

Citation Style

Authors should use Chicago Manual Style in organising their footnotes and references consistently. Below are examples:

Book:

Midgley, James. *Social Development: The Developmental Perspective in Social Welfare*. London: Sage, 1995.

Chapter in a Book:

Mupedziswa, Rodreck. "Social Work in Africa." In *Introducing Social Work*, edited by Robert Adams, Lena Dominelli, and Malcolm Payne. London: Macmillan, 1998.

Article in Print Journal:

Mugumbate, Jacob, and Andrew Nyanguru. "Exploring African Philosophy: The Value of Ubuntu in Social Work." *African Journal of Social Work* 3, no. 1 (2013): 82–100.

Footnotes should be written continuously (at bottom of page), with full citation when cited for the first time and a brief relevant citation of the author's last name and short title only when cited again. For a detailed description of footnote and reference organisation, see Chicago Manual Style:

[Notes and Bibliography Style](https://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools_citationguide/citation-guide-1.html)

https://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools_citationguide/citation-guide-1.html

Manuscript Length and Settings

Abstract length should not exceed 250 words.

For research articles, submissions should be between 3,000 and 5,000 words including footnotes and references.

For commentary, submissions should not exceed 3,000 words.

Images and Illustrations

Authors have a responsibility to sort out copyright issues for all materials used in their work that do not originally belong to them. Such materials include images, maps, and other forms of illustrations.

All illustrations used in manuscripts, regardless of whether they are tables, maps, or images, should be labelled as Figures and numbered in ascending order consistently.

Author Anonymity

Authors should not include their names, institutional affiliations, or email addresses in the main documents uploaded to the submission system. Documents should conceal author identity to facilitate blind peer review.

Submissions may be returned to authors if the above guidelines are not adhered to.

Peer Review Process

Editorial Screening

The Editor conducts an initial assessment to determine whether the manuscript meets basic requirements regarding scope, quality, and contribution to the field. Manuscripts that do not meet minimum standards may be desk rejected.

Peer Review

Articles will first be subject to a desk review for journal suitability and clarity. A double-anonymous (commonly termed double-blind) review will be performed by at least two external reviewers. We will strive for an average review time of 8 weeks from point of submission. Publication decisions will be made by the Editor-in-Chief. Authors may appeal a decision of Reject once only. Any final decision will rest with the Editor-in-Chief.

Double-Blind Peer Review

Manuscripts that pass initial screening are sent to two external, independent reviewers for double-blind peer review. Reviewers evaluate:

- Originality and significance of contribution
- Theoretical and conceptual rigour
- Methodological appropriateness and quality
- Quality of empirical evidence and analysis
- Clarity of argument and presentation
- Relevance to the journal's scope and readership

Revision and Re-review

Authors are expected to make revisions based on reviewer feedback. Revised manuscripts are returned to the original reviewers for approval before final acceptance for publication.

Based on reviews, the editor will issue one of the following decisions: Accept, Minor Revisions, Major Revisions, or Reject.

Final Submission and Publication

Upon acceptance:

- Authors submit the final, revised manuscript incorporating all requested changes
- The editorial team conducts copy-editing and formatting
- Proofs are sent to the corresponding author for final corrections
- The article is prepared for online publication

Copyright and Permissions

Authors of accepted articles grant the Journal and Pluto Journals, publisher of the Journal, the rights to publish under Creative Commons License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>. Authors will receive a Copyright Form for electronic signature upon the acceptance of the article for publication to agree to the Pluto Journals Publishing Agreement <https://www.plutojournals.com/publishing-agreement/>. Moral rights will be retained by the original Author(s) and copyright will be held by the authors.

Contributors are responsible for obtaining written permission to make use, in both print and electronic media, of material for which they do not hold the copyright and for ensuring that the appropriate acknowledgements are included in their manuscripts.

Ethics and Malpractice

This journal follows Pluto Journals' Ethics and Code of Conduct policy

<https://www.plutojournals.com/codeofconduct/>, which aligns with the ethical standards endorsed by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) at <https://publicationethics.org/>. In particular:

- We expect all authors to state in their article if they have a conflict of interest which could potentially bias their opinions—for example, funding or employment relationships.
- All named authors on articles should confirm that they have jointly participated in the research and writing of the article, and that no author has been omitted from the list of authors.
- We require authors to warrant that their articles are original, have not been previously published, and do not plagiarise or otherwise copy someone else's work without attribution.
- We also require authors to warrant that their article does not defame, libel, or bring another person into disrepute, and neither does it contain anything illegal (e.g., copyright infringement).
- Research involving human participants must comply with appropriate ethical standards and approvals. Authors should include information about ethical review and informed consent procedures where applicable.

- Editors and reviewers must disclose any potential conflicts of interest that could influence, or be perceived to influence, their handling of a manuscript. Conflicts may include personal relationships, academic competition, or financial interests related to the work under consideration. If a conflict exists, editors and reviewers must decline to participate in the review or editorial decision-making process. The journal maintains procedures to ensure that editorial decisions are made without bias and based solely on scholarly merit. Editors are not involved in decisions about papers in which they have a conflict of interest and such submissions are handled by another qualified editor.
- All submissions are checked for originality during the editorial review. The reviewer's role includes the process of checking and dealing with text similarity and suspected plagiarism. Plagiarism includes the unattributed use of another author's words, ideas, data, or images, as well as excessive text recycling from one's own prior work. Reviewers are responsible for reporting potential misconduct concerns to the Editor, who handles concerns according to the COPE plagiarism flowchart <https://members.publicationethics.org/sites/default/files/plagiarism-submitted-manuscript-cope-flowchart.pdf>. Manuscripts found to contain significant plagiarism are rejected, and if plagiarism is discovered after publication, the journal may issue a correction or retraction and notify the authors' institution as appropriate. The editor is responsible for guiding the process and for publishing corrections, retractions, or expressions of concern when appropriate. All authors will be informed and their consent (where possible) obtained before any changes are made. Appropriate measures will be taken, including possible retractions of articles, when cases of scholarly misconduct are detected.
- Retraction of articles may be required when there is clear evidence that the findings are unreliable due to misconduct (e.g., data fabrication, plagiarism) or honest error; when the work has been previously published elsewhere without proper attribution; or when the research or publication process was unethical. Retraction notices are published promptly, clearly identified as such and linked to the original article, which remains in the public record but marked as retracted. The notice will include the reasons for retraction and who is retracting the article.
- Corrections (errata or corrigenda) are published by the journal to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the scholarly record. If an error is identified that affects the publication's reliability, but not its overall conclusions, a correction will be issued. Corrections will clearly describe the change, reference the original article, and be freely accessible. Minor errors that do not affect the interpretation of the work will not typically warrant formal correction.
- Appeals: should an author wish to appeal a decision of Reject, they should write to the Editorial Executive. Two members of the Editorial Board not involved in the original review process for the article will reconsider the article and reviews and submit their recommendations. Only one round of appeal will be permitted per manuscript.

Contact

Editor-in-Chief: Jacob Rugare Mugumbate, Institute of Development Administration, Harare, Zimbabwe & University of Wollongong, Australia

Email: jmugumba@uow.edu.au