

INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS

1. The *International Journal of Critical Diversity Studies* is an open access journal which publishes original articles (research and theoretical), commentaries, and book reviews. The *IJCDs* is published bi-annually and may on occasion release three issues a year. All articles are double anonymously (commonly termed 'double-blind') peer reviewed by at least two external reviewers.
2. Original articles should be between 8,000 and 10,000 words (this limit includes tables, references, captions and endnotes). Commentaries should be a maximum of 4,500 words. Book reviews should be no more than 2,000 words. Details of the book being reviewed should be included as follows: Author, Title, Place of publication, Publisher, Year, Total page numbers and ISBN. Submissions must not have been published or be under consideration elsewhere.
3. Articles must be submitted in English and written consistently throughout in terms of style (an author can use either American or British English, but must stick to one chosen style). Articles should reflect awareness of the impact of language on difference and avoid language that could be exclusionary or harmful.
4. Articles should be submitted electronically as Word files on ScienceOpen, accessed through the following link: <https://www.scienceopen.com/collection/e06ae4c4-93b1-4c08-809a-003dc3b83678>. In order to submit, authors are required to have an ORCID account that can be linked to ScienceOpen. ORCID registration is simple and it is easiest to obtain both an ORCID number and a ScienceOpen account from <https://www.scienceopen.com/collection/e06ae4c4-93b1-4c08-809a-003dc3b83678>. More details can be found here <https://orcid.org/register>. Alternatively, Pluto Press offers a video guide https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rSc_vc-wQaU.
5. The *IJCDs* does not accept submissions that have been written or otherwise produced by AI or LLM tools. Acceptable use of these tools includes spelling and grammar checks and systematisation of reference lists. Authors should never use AI to generate ideas, design structures, find literature or create arguments. Articles that are suspected to be AI generated may be rejected.
6. An abstract of 150-200 words should be included in a single paragraph, followed by three to five key words.
7. For the purposes of peer review there must be no identifying reference to the author in the text. The author's name should not appear in the manuscript. Reference to the author's work within the text should be anonymized for peer review. In cases where you need to use your name, you may use Author or Anonymous, for example Author (2010) or Anonymous (2012).
8. *IJCDs* conforms to the American Psychological Association (APA) style in all respects concerning referencing. All references should be included in parenthesis in the text following the author and date. Examples of APA referencing style can be found on the APA Style website at <https://apastyle.apa.org/>.
9. The reference list of works cited should be at the end of the article. Endnotes should be avoided or kept to a minimum. Appendices may be included in exceptional cases.

10. All text should be double-spaced in 12-point Times New Roman font. Paragraphs should be justified and text should be formatted as simply as possible, reserving italics for titles and foreign words. Short quotations should be indicated by double quotation marks; quotations within quotations should be indicated by single quotation marks.
11. Quotations from other languages should be given in translation only where a linguistic dimension is critical to the argument. Quotations must be transcribed accurately. Abbreviations, omissions and interpolations should be indicated by the use of square brackets. Longer quotations, of 40 words or above, should be indented apart in paragraph without quotation marks.
12. Spellings in quotations must follow the original of the editions cited. Dates and numbers should generally be written out (e.g. seventh century, not 7th century; 26 July 1956, not 26th July or 26/7/1956).
13. Visual material, including maps, photographs, diagrams and art works integral to the argument of the article, will be accepted for reproduction in the text. Colour images will be accepted in exceptional cases and where justified by the visual focus of the article. At publication stage, images must be submitted in sufficiently high definition and quality format for clear legibility.
14. Responsibility for obtaining permission from the copyright holders for the reproduction of images lies with the author. All tables, diagrams, figures and images should be labelled accompanied with suitable captions for identification and numbered for ease of reference.
15. All articles are evaluated for suitability according to the scope of the *IJCDS* before being sent for review.
16. Note that the Editor-in-Chief makes the final publishing decisions, guided by the peer reviewers and Associate Editors. We aim for an average time for review of 12 weeks from point of submission, but this cannot be guaranteed. The majority of articles require some revision before they are accepted for publication. Following the refereeing process, authors may be asked to undertake revisions as a condition of publication.
17. We check all articles for plagiarism. All articles will normally be subject to editing. Authors will be asked to review proofs prior to the final publication. Correction of proofs is limited to factual and typographical errors. Proofs must be returned by the deadlines indicated. Authors will receive offprints for their individual articles.
18. **Copyright and Permissions:** Authors of accepted articles grant the Journal and Pluto Journals, publisher of the Journal, the Rights to publish under Creative Commons License (CC BY 4.0) <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. Authors will receive a Copyright Form for electronic signature upon the acceptance of the article for publication to agree to the Pluto Journals Publishing Agreement <https://www.plutojournals.com/publishing-agreement/>. Moral rights will be retained by the original Author(s) and copyright will be held by the authors. Contributors are responsible for obtaining written permission to make use, in both print and electronic media, of material for which they do not hold the copyright and for ensuring that the appropriate acknowledgements are included in their manuscripts.
19. The journal adheres to the ethical standards endorsed by the Committee on Publication Ethics at <https://publicationethics.org/>. In particular:
 - We expect all authors to state in their article if they have a conflict of interest which could potentially bias their opinions - for example funding or employment.
 - All named authors on the articles should confirm that they have jointly participated in the research and writing of the article, and that no author has been omitted from the list of authors.



- We require authors to warrant that their articles are original, have not been previously published, and do not plagiarise or otherwise copy someone else's work without attribution. (If the article is a translation, we are happy to consider this for publication but the authors must inform the editors on submission.)
- We also require authors to warrant that their article does not defame, libel, or bring another person into disrepute, and neither does it contain anything illegal (e.g. copyright infringement).
- Editors and reviewers must disclose any potential conflicts of interest that could influence, or be perceived to influence, their handling of a manuscript. Conflicts may include personal relationships, academic competition or financial interests related to the work under consideration. If a conflict exists, editors and reviewers must decline to participate in the review or editorial decision-making process. The journal maintains procedures to ensure that editorial decisions are made without bias and based solely on scholarly merit. Editors are not involved in decisions about papers in which they have a conflict of interest and such submissions are handled by another qualified editor.
- All submissions are checked for originality during the editorial review. The reviewer's role includes the process of checking and dealing with text similarity and suspected plagiarism. Plagiarism includes the unattributed use of another author's words, ideas, data, or images, as well as excessive text recycling from one's own prior work. Reviewers are responsible for reporting potential misconduct concerns to the Editor, who handles concerns according to the COPE plagiarism flowchart <https://members.publicationethics.org/sites/default/files/plagiarism-submitted-manuscript-cope-flowchart.pdf>. Manuscripts found to contain significant plagiarism are rejected, and if plagiarism is discovered after publication, the journal may issue a correction or retraction and notify the authors' institution as appropriate. The editor is responsible for guiding the process and for publishing corrections, retractions or expressions of concern when appropriate. All authors will be informed and their consent (where possible) obtained before any changes are made. Appropriate measures will be taken, including possible retractions of articles, when cases of scholarly misconduct are detected.
- Retraction of articles may be required when there is clear evidence that the findings are unreliable due to misconduct (e.g., data fabrication, plagiarism) or honest error; when the work has been previously published elsewhere without proper attribution; or when the research or publication process was unethical. Retraction notices are published promptly, clearly identified as such and linked to the original article, which remains in the public record but marked as retracted. The notice will include the reasons for retraction and who is retracting the article.
- Corrections (errata or corrigenda) are published by the journal to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the scholarly record. If an error is identified that affects the publication's reliability, but not its overall conclusions, a correction will be issued. Corrections will clearly describe the change, reference the original article, and be freely accessible. Minor errors that do not affect the interpretation of the work will not typically warrant formal correction.
- Appeals: should an author wish to appeal a decision of Reject, they should write to the Editorial Executive. Two members of the Editorial Board not involved in the original review process for the article will reconsider the article and reviews and to submit their recommendations. Only one round of appeal will be permitted per manuscript.