

BAKWETHU

MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION GUIDELINES TO AUTHORS

Aims and scope: *Bakwethu* welcomes and aims to publish original research articles, essays, commentary, roundtables, interviews, and book, theatre and film reviews on Shakespeare studies with a global perspective. In particular, the journal offers a platform to publish research that explores Shakespeare's works across cultures, performance traditions, adaptations, and contemporary relevance from diverse geographical and theoretical perspectives. The journal is intended for academics, students, theatre practitioners, and individuals interested in Shakespeare studies from across the world. It promotes global and decolonial perspectives on Shakespeare scholarship and performance.

Open Access: *Bakwethu* is an open access journal publishing under the CC BY 4.0 license. There are no Author Publication Fees payable to submit or publish in this journal.

- i. All submissions should be written in British English consistently. Authors are, thus, advised to edit their works prior to submissions to make the review process a smooth exercise.
- ii. Authors should submit manuscripts in word format document and justified. All texts should be in Times New Roman font size 12 and in 1.5 spacing throughout. Quotations exceeding 20 words should be double-indented consistently. Page numbers should be written in the centre of the footer.
- iii. At the time of submission, the work should not have been submitted for similar consideration elsewhere.
- iv. Each author should submit word-formatted documents. The documents should have five main elements: title, abstract, keywords, main essay and references.
- v. During the submission, authors should also supply in the online form the following: title of submission, name, institution affiliation, ORCID number, and active email address.
- vi. Any use of AI / LLM assistance must be disclosed in the submitted manuscript.
- vii. Authors should use Chicago Manual Style in organising their footnotes and references consistently.

Here are few examples:

A. End of article reference page with full citations in the following adapted Chicago Style:

- If it is a **BOOK**: Shakespeare, William. *The Tempest*. Edited by Virginia Mason Vaughan and Alden T. Vaughan. London: Arden Shakespeare, 2011.
- If it is **CHAPTER IN A BOOK**: Singh, Jyotsna. "Postcolonial Shakespeare." In *The Oxford Handbook of Shakespeare*, edited by Arthur F. Kinney, 492-507. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

- If it is an **ARTICLE IN PRINT JOURNAL**: Thompson, Ayanna. "Unmooring the Moor: Researching and Teaching on YouTube." *Shakespeare Quarterly* 61, no. 3 (2010): 337-356.

B. Footnotes should be written continuously (at bottom of page); with full citation when cited for the first time and with brief relevant citation of author's last name and short title only when cited again. Here are the examples:

1. William Shakespeare, *The Tempest*, ed. Virginia Mason Vaughan and Alden T. Vaughan (London: Arden Shakespeare, 2011), 24-25.
2. Shakespeare, *The Tempest*, 26. (for subsequent footnotes)
3. Ayanna Thompson, "Unmooring the Moor: Researching and Teaching on YouTube," *Shakespeare Quarterly* 61, no. 3 (2010): 337-356.
4. Thompson, "Unmooring the Moor," 340.
5. Interview with theatre director, March 20, 2024, Cape Town.

For a detailed and complete description on organising footnotes and references see in Chicago Manual Style here: Notes and Bibliography Style.

<https://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/home.html>

Manuscript Length and Settings

- i. Abstract length should not exceed 200 words.
- ii. For book, theatre and film reviews, submissions should be between 900 and 1200 words. If necessary, reviews may include a very limited number of footnotes.
- iii. For commentary and roundtable contributions, the submissions should not be less than 3000 and not more than 4500 words long including footnotes.
- iv. For interviews and essays, the submissions should not be less than 5000 words.
- v. For conventional research articles, the submission should be not less than 7000 words and not more than 9000 words with footnotes and references.
- vi. Authors have a responsibility to sort out copyright issues for all materials used in their works and that originally do not belong to them. Such materials include images, maps and other forms of illustrations.
- vii. All illustrations used in the manuscripts regardless of whether they are tables, maps or images should be labelled as Figures and numbered in ascending order consistently.
- viii. Authors should not put their names, institutional affiliations, or email addresses in the main documents that are uploaded in the submission system. In other words, the documents should hide author identity.

Submissions may regrettably be denied or returned back to authors if the above guidelines are not adhered to.

Editorial Board:

Editor-in-Chief: Marguerite de Waal, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa.
marguerite.dewaal@wits.ac.za

Editorial Board Members:

Ifeoluwa Aboluwade – University of Bayreuth, Germany
Amrita Dhar – Ohio State University, United States
Michael Dobson – University of Birmingham, United Kingdom
Ruben Espinosa – Arizona State University, United States
Colette Gordon – University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa
Peter Holland – University of Notre Dame, United States
Raphaël Ingelbien – Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Alexa Alice Joubin – George Washington University, United States
Peter W. Marx – University of Cologne, Germany
Hassana Moosa – University of Cape Town, South Africa
Amrita Sen – University of Calcutta, India
David Schalkwyk – Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom
Charlotte Scott – The Shakespeare Birthplace Trust / Goldsmiths College, United Kingdom
Katherine Scheil – University of Minnesota, United States
Kathryn Vomero Santos – Trinity University, United States
Robert Stagg – Texas A&M University, United States
Jyotsna Singh – University of Michigan, United States
Serena Talento – University of Bayreuth, Germany
Ayanna Thompson – Arizona State University, United States
Christina Wald – University of Konstanz, Germany
Sandra Young – University of Cape Town, South Africa

Manuscript Submission:

All manuscripts must be submitted via the Bakwethu ScienceOpen homepage https://www.scienceopen.com/collection/Pluto_Bakwethu. Before submitting, authors must have both a ScienceOpen account and an ORCID number (see “ORCID number registration and ScienceOpen” below for registration instructions). Once you have these, follow the steps below to submit your manuscript.

- i. Go to the Bakwethu ScienceOpen homepage at https://www.scienceopen.com/collection/Pluto_Bakwethu. Scroll over “My ScienceOpen” at the top of the page and select “Sign in” from the dropdown menu.
- ii. Enter your email and password and click “Log in”. You will be returned to the homepage in a logged-in state.
- iii. Click “Submit a manuscript” in the header of the homepage. An “Upload manuscript” page will open.

All manuscripts must be submitted as both a Word document and a PDF. The Word document is used for the peer review and editorial process; the PDF serves as a fixed record of what was originally submitted. Creating a PDF from Word takes only a few seconds: select “File” > “Export” > “Create PDF/XPS”.

On the “Upload manuscript” page, complete the following fields:

- iv. Content (PDF): Upload your manuscript as a PDF. The document must contain only the title, abstract, and main text with references in Chicago Style (as set out in the Author Instructions above). Do not include any author names, institutional affiliations, or email addresses, as this file will be sent out for double-anonymous peer review.
 - v. Word Content: Upload the same document (title, abstract, and text with references, without author identifying information) in Word format.
 - vi. Authors: List all authors in the order they should appear in the published article.
 - vii. Article type: Select the type that best describes your submission (research article, essay, review, etc.).
 - viii. Corresponding author email: Enter the email address you wish to appear in the published article. This may differ from the email used to log in to ScienceOpen.
 - ix. Title and subtitle: Enter your title (and subtitle if applicable) as you wish it to appear in the published article. For book, theatre, and film reviews, the title field should contain the full publication details of the work under review in the following format: Author Surname, First Name. Title of Work. City: Publisher, Year. Format (e.g. pbk/hbk), pp. ISBN.
 - x. Abstract: Enter your abstract (not exceeding 200 words, as per the Author Instructions above). You will be asked to enter this again in the form; this is used for indexing and online visibility purposes.
 - xi. Keywords: Enter up to 10 keywords relevant to your submission.
 - xii. Disciplines: Select the disciplines most relevant to your submission. This assists with online indexing and discoverability.
 - xiii. Data availability, funder, and author agreements: Select the appropriate data availability category, add funder information if applicable, and tick all relevant author agreement statements. Then click "Submit" to complete your submission.
- Upon acceptance of your manuscript for publication, you will be asked to submit by email a short biographical note for each author.

ORCID number registration and ScienceOpen:

Bakwethu is hosted by ScienceOpen, an open access platform, because it assures all works published will be highly visible around the world to current search procedures for academic work.

To achieve this goal of high visibility for all its published material, all Bakwethu contributors must ideally have an ORCID ("Open Research and Contributor ID") number and be registered on the ScienceOpen platform. If a contributor does not have either of these, they can obtain them in minutes.

The ORCID system raises the "visibility" of your published research to people looking for research on its topic, it makes it easier for people searching for such research to find yours. ORCID provides a persistent digital identifier (an ORCID iD) that you own and control, and that distinguishes you from every other researcher. You can connect your iD with your professional information — affiliations, grants, publications, peer review, and more.

Here are instructions for gaining an ORCID, given by ORCID, at <https://orcid.org/register>. Alternatively, our publisher Pluto Journals has a two minute 42 second video instructing how to register with ScienceOpen (which runs our submission platform – and once registered it indicates ways to make your manuscript more easily visible to people searching on the topics

of your research), follow this video instruction on how to obtain an ORCID number https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rSc_vc-wQaU.

Peer Review:

Articles will be first subject to a desk-review for journal suitability and clarity. A double-anonymous (commonly termed 'double-blind') review will be performed by at least two external reviewers. We will strive for an average time for review of 8 weeks from point of submission. Publication decisions will be made by the Editor-in-Chief. Authors may appeal a decision of Reject once only. Any final decision will rest with the Editor-in-Chief.

Copyright and Permissions:

Authors of accepted articles grant the Journal and Pluto Journals, publisher of the Journal, the Rights to publish under Creative Commons License (CC BY 4.0) <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. Authors will receive a Copyright Form for electronic signature upon the acceptance of the article for publication to agree to the Pluto Journals Publishing Agreement <https://www.plutojournals.com/publishing-agreement/>. Moral rights will be retained by the original Author(s) and copyright will be held by the authors. Contributors are responsible for obtaining written permission to make use, in both print and electronic media, of material for which they do not hold the copyright and for ensuring that the appropriate acknowledgements are included in their manuscripts.

Ethics and Malpractice:

This journal follows Pluto Journals' Ethics and Code of Conduct policy <https://www.plutojournals.com/codeofconduct/>, which aligns with the ethical standards endorsed by the Committee on Publication Ethics at <https://publicationethics.org/>. In particular:

- We expect all authors to state in their article if they have a conflict of interest which could potentially bias their opinions - for example funding or employment.
- All named authors on the articles should confirm that they have jointly participated in the research and writing of the article, and that no author has been omitted from the list of authors.
- We require authors to warrant that their articles are original, have not been previously published, and do not plagiarise or otherwise copy someone else's work without attribution. (If the article is a translation, we are happy to consider this for publication but the authors must inform the editors on submission.)
- We also require authors to warrant that their article does not defame, libel, or bring another person into disrepute, and neither does it contain anything illegal (e.g. copyright infringement).
- Editors and reviewers must disclose any potential conflicts of interest that could influence, or be perceived to influence, their handling of a manuscript. Conflicts may include personal relationships, academic competition or financial interests related to the work under consideration. If a conflict exists, editors and reviewers must decline to participate in the review or editorial decision-making process. The journal

maintains procedures to ensure that editorial decisions are made without bias and based solely on scholarly merit. Editors are not involved in decisions about papers in which they have a conflict of interest and such submissions are handled by another qualified editor.

- All submissions are checked for originality during the editorial review. The reviewer's role includes the process of checking and dealing with text similarity and suspected plagiarism. Plagiarism includes the unattributed use of another author's words, ideas, data, or images, as well as excessive text recycling from one's own prior work. Reviewers are responsible for reporting potential misconduct concerns to the Editor, who handles concerns according to the COPE plagiarism flowchart <https://members.publicationethics.org/sites/default/files/plagiarism-submitted-manuscript-cope-flowchart.pdf>. Manuscripts found to contain significant plagiarism are rejected, and if plagiarism is discovered after publication, the journal may issue a correction or retraction and notify the authors' institution as appropriate. The editor is responsible for guiding the process and for publishing corrections, retractions or expressions of concern when appropriate. All authors will be informed and their consent (where possible) obtained before any changes are made. Appropriate measures will be taken, including possible retractions of articles, when cases of scholarly misconduct are detected.
- Retraction of articles may be required when there is clear evidence that the findings are unreliable due to misconduct (e.g., data fabrication, plagiarism) or honest error; when the work has been previously published elsewhere without proper attribution; or when the research or publication process was unethical. Retraction notices are published promptly, clearly identified as such and linked to the original article, which remains in the public record but marked as retracted. The notice will include the reasons for retraction and who is retracting the article.
- Corrections (errata or corrigenda) are published by the journal to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the scholarly record. If an error is identified that affects the publication's reliability, but not its overall conclusions, a correction will be issued. Corrections will clearly describe the change, reference the original article, and be freely accessible. Minor errors that do not affect the interpretation of the work will not typically warrant formal correction.
- Appeals: should an author wish to appeal a decision of Reject, they should write to the Editorial Executive. Two members of the Editorial Board not involved in the original review process for the article will reconsider the article and reviews and to submit their recommendations. Only one round of appeal will be permitted per manuscript.